TECHNIUM
SOCIAL SCIENCES JOURNAL

Vol. 7, 2020

A new decade for social changes

www.techniumscience.com

ISSN 2668-7798

9 772668 779000

General Framework for Post-conflict Reconstruction in Yemen

Abdulghani Gaghman

The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania

abdulghanigaghman@stud.ase.ro

Abstract. The war in Yemen, which escalated in March 2015 when a Saudi-led coalition intervened, with comprehensive blockade and air campaign has turned a poor country into a humanitarian catastrophe. The impacts of the conflict in Yemen are devastating—with nearly a quarter of a million people killed directly by fighting and indirectly through lack of access to food, health services, and infrastructure. The economy has collapsed, and fighting has ravaged the country's infrastructure. The reconstruction and recovery of Yemen will demand rebuilding the economy, restoring state institutions and infrastructure and repairing the social fabric. Good governance plays a key role in rebuilding Yemen as a post-conflict state. The task is thus to build transparent, efficient and participative governance structures that can help to stabilise the volatile transformation of post-conflict society. This paper intends to clarify how good governance can be promoted in Yemen as conflict / post-conflict country and which role the political and administrative system could play in this context. This paper is based on a literature review of the main theories and issues involved in post-conflict reconstruction, coupled with an analysis of relevant case studies and good practices from different countries. The main recommendations most urgently needed are; establishing safety and security, strengthening constitutional government, reconstructing infrastructure and restoring services, stabilizing and growing the economy, and strengthening justice and reconciliation organizations.

Keywords. Good Governance, Post-conflict Reconstruction, Yemen, Transitional Economies

1 Introduction

The conflict in Yemen has created the world's worst humanitarian crisis; the devastation of the country's economy has exacerbated that crisis. Before the heightening of conflict in 2015, advancement in Yemen was stressed. A nation of 30 million individuals, Yemen positioned: (a) 153rd on the Human Development Index (HDI); (b) 138th in extreme poverty; (c) 147th in life expectancy; (d) 172nd in educational attainment; and, (e) was in the World Bank low-middle income category. Projections propose that Yemen would not have accomplished any of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by 2030 even in case of no conflict [1].

As the conflict moves toward its fifth year, battling has harmed state foundations and destroyed public infrastructure, including schools, clinics, road networks, private companies and factories. The state's arrangement of fundamental administrations, for example, medicinal services, training, water and power, was feeble preceding the conflict, and these administrations have crumbled further during the war; moreover, the state has not paid standard pay rates to its government workers since 2016 [2].

The UNDP Impact of war in Yemen report, expressed that, if the war finishes in 2019, it will have led an extra 233,000 people death. Of these, 102,000 are the immediate aftereffect of battle brutality. In case of conflict continues to 2030, it the number might reach to 300,000 (Table 1) [1].

Table 1 The Impact of The War In Yemen as per 2019 (Source [1]).

2019 Impact – If the conflict were to end in 2019, it would account for:	
233,000 Death	(0.8 per cent of the 2019 population) with 102,000 combat deaths and 131,000 indirect deaths due to lack of food, health services and infrastructure
1 Child Death	every 11 minutes and 54 seconds in 2019
140,000 Death	deaths of children under the age of five
13.4M	of the population living with malnutrition (17 per cent of the total population in 2019)
14M	of people living in extreme poverty (40% of the 2019 population)
10.3M	of children without access to schools (36 per cent of school-aged children in 2019)
US \$89B	loss in economic output
US \$2,000	reduction in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita (at Purchasing Power Parity (PPP))

Tragically, in the midst of vicious conflict, consideration and assets move from creation to demolition, and the administration's ability to offer types of assistance turns out to be seriously disabled. The impacts on the populace are destroying [3]. The economy has crumbled forcefully. While official measurements stay inaccessible, recounted proof proposes that GDP shrunk by an amassed 39 percent since the finish of 2014 [4]. Working costs rose seriously because of weakness and absence of provisions and information sources, prompting enormous cutbacks to the nation's formal and casual workforce. The decrease in monetary movement has prompted a critical decrease in the assortment of open income and expanded the nation's obligation [4]. The conflict has caused far reaching interruption of monetary exercises, with generous reduction in employments, private segment activities, and business openings.

The HDI is a composite proportion of human development including wellbeing, income and education [5]. HDI has just fallen 15 percent since 2014, and the hole between Yemen in struggle and a No Conflict situation keeps on augmenting (Fig 1). Right now, in HDI reflect of the roundabout effect of war that are unevenly felt by children and women [1].

Figure 1. Select Development Indicators Along the No Conflict Scenario and Conflict 2030 (Source [1]).

2 Problem Statement

The long-term effects of conflict are immense and place it among the most destructive and dangerous conflict since the finish of the Cold War. The conflict as just slowed down human advancement by 21 years in Yemen. On the off chance that the conflict was to end in 2022, development would be interfered with 26 years—more than one generation. On the off chance that the conflict undergoes through 2030, the misfortune develops to about four decades, or one or two generation. Right now, one out of five enduring Yemenis will be physically hindered as a result of the conflict [1].

While there have been conversations about the reconstruction and restoration process in Yemen, no official supporter driven, coordinated, aid provider has started [6]. The World Bank has been building up a reconstruction and restoration outline, yet appears to have finished this effort without obvious outcomes [7].

3 Literature Review

3.1 Typology of Governance

Given that the expression "Governance" signifies various things to various individuals, it is valuable, subsequently, for the UNDP to explain, at the very beginning, the sense wherein it comprehends the word. Among the numerous meanings of "Governance" that exist, the one that shows up the most fitting from the perspective of the UNDP is "the exercise of economic, political and administrative authority to manage a country's affairs at all levels [8]. It comprises mechanisms, processes and institutions through which citizens and groups articulate their interests, exercise their legal rights, meet their obligations and mediate their differences" [9].

As indicated by the World Bank, Governance is "the way wherein power is practiced in the governance of a nation's monetary and social assets for advancement." [10]. On this

importance, the idea of governance is concerned straightforwardly with the administration of the improvement procedure, including both general society and the private areas. It incorporates the working and capacity of the open area, just as the standards and organizations that make the structure for the lead of both open and private business, including responsibility for monetary and money related execution, and administrative systems identifying with organizations, companies, and partnerships[10].

Debiel and Terlinden in their GTZ conversation paper in 2005, portray "Governance" as the utilization of rules and procedures through which authority and control are practiced in a general public, political choices are made, the principles for the extent of activity of state and society are organized, and assets for financial and social advancement are managed. To operationalise this term for post-struggle circumstances, a differentiation is made between three components of governance, which are personally associated, to be specific security governance, political-managerial governance and financial governance [11].

As of late, Keping (2018) abridged the researchers' perspectives in five significant recommendations on governance as hypothesis [12]. They are as per the following :

1. Governance alludes to a lot of institutions and actors that are drawn from the Government. Once the force practiced by a private or public institution is perceived by people in general, it is conceivable to turn into a force place at a particular level.
2. Governance distinguishes the obscuring of limits and duties regarding handling social and monetary issues.
3. Governance recognizes the force reliance engaged with connections between organizations associated with aggregate activity.
4. Governance underlines the significance of independent self-overseeing systems of actors.
5. Governance perceives the ability to complete things without depending on the intensity of the government to direction or utilize its position. Where there are many management tool to steer public affairs [13].

In summary, we can close by concurring with the meanings of governance, made by the Commission on Global Governance and distributed in 1995, "*governance is the total of the numerous ways; individuals and institutions, public and private, manage their common affairs. It is a continuing process through which conflicting or diverse interests may be accommodated and cooperative action may be taken. It includes formal institutions and regimes empowered to enforce compliance, as well as informal arrangements that people and institutions either have agreed to or perceive to be in their interest*" [14].

3.2 Good Governance Principles

Governance can be used in several contexts such as: Co-operate governance, international governance, national governance and local governance [15]. Good Governance characteristics summary shown in (Table 2).

Table 2 Good Governance Principles

(UNDP, 1997) [9]	(Keping, 2018) [12]	(WGI, 2019) [16]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation • Rule of law • Transparency • Responsiveness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consensus oriented • Equitability and inclusiveness • Effectiveness and efficiency • Accountability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transparency • Rule of law • Responsiveness • Effectiveness • Accountability • Legitimacy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Voice and Accountability • Political Stability and Absence of Violence; • Government Effectiveness; • Regulatory Quality; • Rule of Law; • Control of Corruption

3.3 *Post-Conflict Reconstruction*

As of late, the idea of "post-conflict reconstruction" has gotten a lot of consideration from researchers and specialists, just as from global associations including the United Nations. All things considered, as a result of the complexities inborn to post-conflict circumstances, the term keeps on coming up short on an exact definition [18].

In spite of taking up post-conflict reconstruction as an unmistakable movement, no worldwide association gave an exact meaning of the term. The World Bank decided to relate "post-conflict" for the most part with "transitional" nations experiencing their first races after a rough conflict [18].

The United Nations Development Program, in a Crisis Prevention and Recovery Report 2008 titled "Post-Conflict Economic Recovery", describes present conflict nations based on their advancement along a range of "peace-building achievements" [19]. The report expresses: A post-conflict nation ought to be viewed as lying some place along a continuum on every one of these achievements, perceiving that it could here and there move in reverse. Up to a nation doesn't slip back on an excessive number of these achievements without a moment's delay, it can sensibly be relied upon to proceed towards recovery. Coming up next are the most significant peace-building achievements based on [19]:

- Ceasing hostilities and violence;
- Signing of peace agreements;
- Demobilization, disarmament and reintegration;
- Return of refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs);
- Establishing the foundations for a functioning State;
- Initiating reconciliation and societal integration; and
- Commencing economic recovery.

In Yemen, The horrific social and financial disturbance brought about by the conflict represents a colossal test for post-conflict reconstruction and peace building. There are critical divisions

among the populace on issues identified with local self-sufficiency, social avoidance, and complaints over the conflict could keep on influencing the possibility of longstanding peace and could compromise the nation's possibilities for manageable peace [4].

3.4 *Governance in Post-Conflict Countries*

Effectively advancing great governance in post-conflict countries relies upon various issues, especially the manner by which the conflict was completed, the activities embraced by the international community is to balance out the post-conflict condition, and the degree to which statehood has been debilitated or crushed during the conflict time. Chances for combining peace and improving governance are positively best where the conflict parties themselves arrange a settlement and are just bolstered and checked by the international community [11].

3.5 *Good Leadership Role in Post-Conflict Countries*

Creating governance limits in post-conflict countries is pre-famously an endogenous procedure that can be helped by reasonable facilitators and by private and open - supported activities to unite battling parties and to fortify the limits of the limit developers.

Leadership is essential in present conflict circumstances on set up proper frameworks and institution, to improve people capacity, to wisely oversee scarce resources, to advance information and technology use. Leaders must have a dream of things to come so as to execute institutional changes. They should likewise have the option to prepare the individuals around them to move changes the correct way and accomplish shared objectives [18].

Yemen's present conflict is like others that are enormous, keep going for quite a while affect human advancement, for example, Iraq (2003–present), Democratic Republic of Congo (1992–present), Sierra Leone (1991–2002) and Liberia (2000–2003). However, "Yemen is The World's Worst Humanitarian Crisis, with extraordinary degrees of need made by struggle and seriously restricted access to humanitarian aid".

Countries which have had great leaders advancing good governance have created and continued the energy in spite of exogenous stuns and emergencies. Governance is the vehicle of reasonable advancement, the support around which beginning institutional development spins. How about we take a gander at these models (Table 3):

- Post-World War II Japan;
- Post-World War II Germany; and
- The evolving Latin American economies

Table 3 Good Governance actions taken by leaders in several post-conflict situations
 (Collected by Author)

Country	Good Governance Actions
JAPAN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Political and economic institutions that were formed in the 1950s helped Japan achieve the High Growth in the 1960s and 1970s • Dual economy institutions: large firms must co-exist with small firms & farmers and not compete with them.

Country	Good Governance Actions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong trade unions and Productivity movements • Emphasis on corporate governance • Democratic governance at political level as the empire was replaced with a democracy: “deliberative councils,” which consisted of representatives of interest groups in every ministry, to “quickly incorporate rich local information scattered around the industries into the government policy.” • Institutions became adaptive: socio-economic development forced institutions which seemed to exemplify “Japanese style” or “Japanese culture” to change drastically in the 2000s and the 2010s
GERMANY after World War II	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Talk less about leaders: Hitler was gone – but institutions remained and were reformed • Sharp changes in socioeconomic institutions following World War II in directions conducive to faster growth • Sweeping but regulated pro-market reforms in West Germany abolished cartels, and put the West German economy on a path toward European and world market integration • Collective bargaining and workplace co-determination, the principal mechanisms through which distributional coalitions had asserted themselves remained and informed big-investor growth • Technological adaptations and inventions allowed in private and public sectors
Latin American countries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evolving institutions like in Ecuador • Institutional strengthening taking root • Institutional addictiveness being undertaken especially in Natural Resources sector • Institutionalised technology transfers and adaptations taking place in Brazil, Chile, Ecuador • Strengthening of democracy – e.g. Ecuador’s transformational leader, Rafael Correa, has left office (instead of seeking to stay in power indefinitely)

Since post-conflict circumstances are heterogeneous, there are no "one size fits every single" institutional answer for governance challenges. In every nation, institutional changes ought to be customized to current needs while considering the heritage of previous organizations, including past qualities and conviction frameworks [18].

4 Methodology and Data Analysis

Qualitative and comparative methodology has been used in this research; both have in common a holistic approach to the paper subject. This work is based on comparisons between known cases (countries) from the repertoire and the actual case (Yemen) situation.

Yemen governance is purposefully selected as a case study in virtue of being somehow, unique and extreme (the most least developed county in the Arab world) [20], of which has also an intrinsic interest aiming to understand the reason behind its critical economic situation and poor governance in the pre conflict period, however it is still has wide spectrum of natural resources and need to plan sustainability for the future.

Explicative research strategy used in this paper, where not only description of the current situation and history of the case (i.e. Yemen), but simultaneously take account of the context, and so encompass many variables and qualities (i.e. General indicators, Economic indicators, Governance indicators) with focus on contemporary events [21]. Moreover, in this paper we've presented, and analysed related economic facts using primary data obtained from thrusted international statistical sites as well as official national data issued by Yemeni government's institutions.

4.1 Data Analysis

After almost five years of conflict in Yemen, conflict has devastated Yemenis' jobs and shaken the political, financial, and social institutions of the state. Right now, local governance structures have taken on focal significance for the political procedure and for the serenity of Yemen. They are fundamental for offering types of assistance, moderating the effect of conflict, and for assisting with building conditions for peace so as to end the war. Regardless of whether the war proceeds, the prosperity of Yemen's residents depends to an enormous degree on the viability, effectiveness, and responsiveness of local governance structures.

Current circumstance of governance in Yemen has been audited utilizing local and worldwide database and insights to look at the advancement made throughout the most recent decade, Trends, missing information that persevere, and openings chances. This investigation has impediments and spotlights barely on the fundamental prerequisites for post-conflict reconstruction methodology, of which good governance is key system and moved toward that Yemen ought to adjust even in the during the conflict time.

One of the troubles is a deficiency of noteworthy information in national report targets governance as the key governance principle never reported it the national statistics published be Central Statistical Organization in Yemen (CSO). In this way, the information utilized has been taken from UN associations, World Bank and another international indicators database, beginning with Worldwide Governance Index (WGI), Bertelsmann Stiftung's Transformation Index (BTI).

To learn whether governance is 'acceptable', we allude to the Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) of which reports total and individual governance indicators for more than 200 nations and domains over the period 1996–2018, for six elements of governance appeared in (Table 4). The WGI shows diminishing pattern since 2007 toward no governance in the entirety of its parts contrasting with comparable nations in the district named (Sudan, Morocco, and Algeria) of which has somehow similar political and economical situation like Yemen (Fig 2) [16].

Table 4 Worldwide Governance Indicator for Yemen for the period 2006-2018 (Source WGI)

Year / Indicators	2006	2008	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Control of Corruption: Percentile Rank	23,4	22,3	9,0	8,5	1,9	1,4	0,96
Government Effectiveness: Percentile Rank	20,0	18,0	14,4	8,5	6,7	1,9	0,48
Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism: Percentile Rank	12,1	2,9	1,9	1,4	1,0	0,5	0,00
Regulatory Quality: Percentile Rank	21,6	23,8	28,2	27,5	18,8	5,3	5,3
Rule of Law: Percentile Rank	14,4	16,8	13,3	8,9	7,7	3,4	1,9
Voice and Accountability: Percentile Rank	16,3	13,0	11,4	9,4	11,8	5,4	3,9
GWI Score	18,0	16,1	13,0	10,7	8,0	3,0	2,1

Other indicator is Bertelsmann Stiftung's Transformation Index (BTI) where it analyses and evaluates whether and how developing countries and countries in transition are steering social change toward democracy and a market economy, focusing on the quality of governance. The BTI shows poor governance score in Yemen (from 3.8 weak to 1.7 failed) (Table 5 and 6) [22].

Table 5 Yemen Governance and Status indicators, Ranking and Value based on (BTI and WGI) for the period 2006-2018

Years	Ranking Status Index	S Status Index	SI Democracy Status	SII Market Economy Status	Ranking Governance Index	G Governance Index
2006	98	3,82	4,07	3,57	88	3,85
2008	102	3,91	3,90	3,93	94	3,95
2010	105	4,08	4,23	3,93	96	4,04
2012	108	3,85	3,70	4,00	103	3,66
2014	119	3,08	3,27	2,89	82	4,50
2016	121	2,91	2,82	3,00	101	3,82
2018	127	1,72	1,80	1,64	126	1,70

Table 6 Indicators Description based on BTI 2018

Year	S Status Index		SI Democracy Status		SII Market Economy Status		G Governance Index	
2006	3,82	Failed	4,07	Moderate autocracies	3,57	Poorly functioning	3,85	Weak
2008	3,91	Failed	3,90	Hard-line autocracies	3,93	Poorly functioning	3,95	Weak
2010	4,08	Very limited	4,23	Moderate autocracies	3,93	Poorly functioning	4,04	Weak
2012	3,85	Failed	3,70	Hard-line autocracies	4,00	Poorly functioning	3,66	Weak
2014	3,08	Failed	3,27	Hard-line autocracies	2,89	Rudimentary	4,50	Moderate
2016	2,91	Failed	2,82	Hard-line autocracies	3,00	Poorly functioning	3,82	Weak
2018	1,72	Failed	1,80	Hard-line autocracies	1,64	Rudimentary	1,70	Failed

In the Next plot indicate the relation between “The Status Index, with consist of two analytic dimensions of political and economic transformation” and Governance Index. HDI has been plotted in the 3rd dimensions (Fig 3). Of which show linear regression with high correlation coefficient ($r > 0.8$).

Figure 3 Transformation Status Vs Governance index (with HDI in color) for the several Countries. Yemen is highlighted in Red (Source [22])

Time Series plot for the Governance Index from [22] during the period (2006-2018), which index score shows decline by 65% (by 2.15 points) (Fig 5).

So, the decline in governance index appear in WGI or GI from (BTI) both reflected in failed transformation and failure state. These statistical data can be used as a reference after the government of Yemen start to apply the post-conflict reconstruction and monitor the performance based on the results and outcomes.

Figure 4 Time Series for Governance Index in Yemen during (2006-2018) (Source:[22])

5 Recommendation

Since the difficulties facing post-conflict countries are varied and complex, governments and international associations can once in a while, if at any point, depend on all ways to deal with regenerating governance principles. Focusing more on responsibility, transparent and participatory attitude in the definition and usage of process and policies, upgrades the confidence of people in general toward the governance and makes a feeling of possession with respect to residents. Nonetheless, based on the above discussions, some essential suggestions are required for accomplishing the post-conflict reconstruction in Yemen, covering strategies, policies, planning and governance.

Involvement in post-conflict reconstruction and advancement proposes that, national and international support associations need not exclusively to detail suitable, adjusted, auspicious, and powerful approaches, yet additionally to coordinate four essential arrangements of variables into their plans and projects [23]:

1. Comprehension of key conditions and needs inside post-conflict countries, including the difficulties that governances face, their abilities, strengths and shortcomings in meeting those difficulties, the most basic undertakings and capacities that legislatures must complete in a five-to-ten-year time period, and the insufficiencies in government limit with regards to offering types of assistance and actualizing recreation arrangements;
2. Acknowledgment of potential impediments to change, including the potential for surviving or forestalling the most incessant bottlenecks or obstacles to rebuilding government;

3. An appraisal of the possibility of ways to deal with international assistance, including the capacity to actualize a help program that meets all or the greater part of the givers' standards for forestalling help reliance; and
4. Proper recognition and understanding of the capabilities, strength and shortcomings of other assistance approaches, and of the conditions under which they are probably going to be plausible.

In spite of the fact that, reform governance includes expanding the limit of the express, the private sector, and civil society associations to perform significant reform and development objectives, little advancement is probably going to be made without first deciding if suitable preconditions exist or can be made. Most of proposed solutions to deal with re-establishing governance in this paper requires; coordinating mechanism, appropriate policies and administrative capacities so as to work successfully. The fundamental capacities that appear to be regularly to challenge the government in Yemen are setting up safety and security, reform infrastructures, reinforcing established government, and service restoring, settling and developing the economy, and fortifying equity and equality and compromise associations — are generally those in which limit building is most direly required [23].

The Yemeni government has ineffective administration and need monetary resources, it normally experiences institutional inefficiency and corruption that will require significant stretches of time to reinforce. In the current situation, Public-private partnerships can be a solution aiming to participate in funding infrastructure or restoring public services together with NGOs and social association [24].

In the event that the war continues, local administration will be one of the best ways to address and reach the people in need and to reduce the impact of the war as much as they can. The Local Authorities Law, Law 4 of 2000 and its change in Law 18 of 2008, remains the legal reference for local administration in Yemen, describing the responsibility, tasks and authorities at the governorate level [25].

At the end, the suggestion is to re-establish the governance in Yemen of which can help to be the basis for post-conflict reconstruction. This reform of governance can be summarized as following:

1. Restoring Effective Governance and Building Trust in Government
2. Establishing Safety and Security
3. Establishing or Strengthening Constitutional Government
4. Reconstructing Infrastructure and Restoring Services
5. Stabilizing and Equitably Growing the Economy
6. Strengthening Justice and Reconciliation Organizations
7. Alternative Approaches to Assisting Governance Capacity Building

As we examined, Every post-conflict circumstance is interesting and requires a unusual institutional improvement procedure, so Yemeni government is advised practically to (I) make a detailed **national program** for reinforcing governance and public institutions; delineating its proposals for changing and governance the country transformation at several levels (political, economical and social), (ii) building up a common **vision and mission to implement governance** at several levels with the country; (iii) make sure to **fight the corruption** and its

roots (iv) make the **institutions more dynamic**; (e) advancing **participatory democracy government** and work together with local administration, private sector and NGO; and (f) building the common ground for peace, without excluding any political party or small minorities [27].

6 Conclusion

In conclusion, Rebuilding proper governance in political, administrative and public institutions, frameworks and components is without a doubt one of the most basic issues in guaranteeing peace and security, human rights and financial advancement in Yemen as it is rising up out of fierce conflict. Achievement relies to a great extent upon the adequacy of public administration and the advancement of an effective, efficient, responsible, transparent and creative government that works in association with all partners. The public service is the associating join between the State and the individuals, and thusly, it is the hatchery of open trust or question in government.

The reconstruction of Yemen should aim to transform the country to better state, and not only to restore the status quo ante. Yemenis and private, NGO, local administration must be vital part and key player to implement this procedure from the arranging stages to guarantee authenticity and local possession; at last, local actors will be in charge for putting this plans in place.

Acknowledgment

The physical and technical contribution of ‘ULTARE Consultation’ www.ultareconsulting.com is truly appreciated. Without their support and funding, this project could not have reached its goal.

References

- [1] Moyer, J.D., Bohl, D., Hanna, T., Mapes, B.R. and Mickey, R., 2019. Assessing the Impact of War on Development in Yemen. *United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)*: Sana’a (Republic of Yemen).
- [2] CSO, 2019. Central Statistical Organisation- Yemen . <http://www.cso-yemen.com/content.php?lng=english&cid=131>
- [3] OCHA (United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs), 2018. *Global Humanitarian Overview 2019*.
- [4] Yemen’s Economic Outlook – April 2019, accessed Jan 8, 2020, [<http://pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/365711553672401737/Yemen-MEU-April-2019-Eng.pdf>]
- [5] Anand, S. and Sen, A., 1994. Human Development Index: Methodology and Measurement. <http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/human-development-index-hdi>
- [6] UNOCHA, Y., 2018. *Humanitarian Needs Overview 2019*.
- [7] Hamid, K. and OSBERG, S., 2018. An Institutional Framework For Post-Conflict Reconstruction In Yemen.
- [8] Gaghman, A., 2020. The Importance of Good Governance on Achieving Sustainable Development Case Study: Yemen. *KnE Social Sciences*, pp.170-192.
- [9] UNDP, 1997. Governance for Sustainable Human Development, *A UNDP policy paper*, pp 2-3
- [10] Holzer, M. and KIM, P.J. eds., 2002. Building good governance: Reforms in Seoul. *National Center for Public*.

- [11] Debiel, T. and Terlinden, U., 2005. Promoting good Governance in post-conflict Societies. collaboration with Tim Dertwinkel, Armin Nolting, Martha Gutiérrez. Eschborn: *Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit Discussion Paper*.
- [12] Keping, Y., 2018. Governance and Good Governance: A New Framework for Political Analysis. *Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences*, Vol. 11 No.1, pp.1-8.
- [13] Stoker, G., 1998. Governance as theory: five propositions. *International social science journal*, 50(155), pp.17-28.
- [14] Commission on Global Governance, 1995. Our global neighbourhood: the report of the Commission on Global Governance. *Oxford University Press*.
- [15] UNDP, 2012. Governance for Peace: *Securing the Social Contract*.
- [16] WGI, 2019. The Worldwide Governance Indicators. Retrieve online from [<http://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/Home/Reports>]
- [17] Abdellatif Am 2003. Good governance and its relationship to democracy and economic development. *In Global Forum III on Fighting Corruption and Safeguarding Integrity*, Vol. 20, p. 31.
- [18] Kauzy, J.M., 2003. Reconstructing public administration in post-conflict countries: Leadership and institutional capacity implications. United Nations Leadership and Social Transformation in the Public Sector: *Moving from Challenges to Solutions*, New York: *United Nations*, pp.45-54.
- [19] UNDP, 2008. Crisis Prevention and Recovery Report 2008- Post-Conflict Economic Recovery Enabling Local Ingenuity. bureau for crisis prevention and recovery.
- [20] World Bank, 2018, New country classifications by income level: 2017-2018, <https://blogs.worldbank.org/opendata/new-country-classifications-income-level-2017-2018> (accessed on Dec,2019)
- [21] Johansson, R., 2007. On Case Study Methodology. *Open house international*, Vol. 32 No. 3.
- [22] BTI, 2019. Bertelsmann Stiftung's Transformation Index. Retrieve from [<https://www.bti-project.org/en/reports/country-reports/detail/itc/yem/>]
- [23] Rondinelli, D.A., 2007. The Challenges of Restoring Governance in Crisis and Post-conflict Countries: 7th Global Forum on Reinventing Government, Building Trust in Government, 26-29 June 2007, Vienna Austria. *United Nations Publications*.
- [24] Babily N., 2018, Good Governance, Definition, dimensions and requirement. Egyptian Institute for studies.
- [25] Rogers, J., 2018. Local Governance in Yemen Theory, Practice, and Future Options. Berlin. *Berghof Foundation*
- [26] Collier, P., 2003. Breaking the conflict trap: Civil war and development policy. *World Bank Publication*.
- [27] Gaghman, A. (2020). The Rule of Leadership & Change Management In Political Change In Yemen. *Global Scientific Journal*, 8(1) 2581-2611 . <http://eoi.citefactor.org/10.11216/gsj.2020.01.34698>